# **Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

## 2010 INTAKE STUDY

## **July 2011**

Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Gary C. Mohr, Director

Office of Administration Annette Chambers-Smith, Deputy Director

Division of Parole and Community Services Sara Andrews, Deputy Director

## Conducted by:

Bureau of Research and Evaluation, Office of Administration Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

> Tables Jim Bates Text Jim Bates

> > Cynthia Gonzalez

Vicky Muncy

**Data and Report Preparation and Support** 

Jim Bates Reeda Boyd Ronnie Easter Cynthia Gonzalez Vicky Muncy

**Editing** Steve Van Dine

**Vicky Muncy** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

### **Coding of Offender History Information**

• Classification Specialists (Bureau of Research)

Jim Bates Reeda Boyd Ronnie Easter

Cindy Gonzalez

Vicky Muncy

# Preparing/gathering PSIs/OBIs - Adult Parole Authority - Field Services Section

- Special thanks to Sara Andrews, Deputy Director, Parole and Community Services and Michael Jackson, Superintendent, APA Field Services, for authorizing the APA to work on the study.
- For coordinating investigation preparation/submission:

Parole Program Specialists (Case Analysts)

Tammy Lamb, Cincinnati Region Margie Reindel, Lima Region Matthew Stuntebeck, Chillicothe Region Andrea Graham, Cleveland Region Theresa Keho, Akron Region Becky Vogel, Mansfield Region

Tracy Conklin, Columbus Region

Debra Hearns, Deputy Superintendent Michael Anderson, Deputy Superintendent

• For preparing/gathering PSIs/OBIs:

All Unit Supervisors and Parole and Probation Officers who completed offender background and pre-sentence investigations, the support staff who typed the finished reports, as well as the support staff who assisted with processing of the reports.

#### **Assistance at DRC Reception Centers**

• All the staff that enabled the Bureau of Research & Evaluation to efficiently collect demographic and social characteristics information from the offenders.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgments	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	iv-v
Executive Summary	vi-vii
Introduction	1
Methodology	1
Caveats Regarding the Data	2
Representativeness of Sample	3
Structure of the Report	3
Demographic and Social Characteristics	. 4-14
Characteristics of Current Commitment Offense	. 14-24
Prior Criminal History	24-34
Reentry Assessment Risk	35
Assessment of SR2 Impact	36-37

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	Pa	ge
	DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS	
1	Gender	4
2	Ethnicity	
3	County of Commitment.	
4	Age at Commitment	7
5	Marital Status at Arrest	8
6	Employment Status at Arrest	
7	Education Level at Arrest	
8	Indication of Military Service	
9	Primary Living Arrangement from Birth to Age 18	10
10	Indication of Physical Abuse as a Child or Adolescent	
11	Indication of Sexual Abuse as a Child or Adolescent	11
12	History of Mental Health Problems	11
13	Indication of Recent Drug Abuse	
14	Indication of a History of Drug Abuse	12
15	Indication of Recent Alcohol Abuse	
16	Indication of a History of Alcohol Abuse	
17	Indication of the Completion of Substance Abuse Treatment	
18	Living Arrangement at Time of Arrest.	
19	Number of Dependent Children at Time of Arrest	14
	CHARACTERISTICS OF CURRENT COMMITMENT OFFENSE	
20	Most Serious Conviction Offense.	14
21	Felony Level-Most Serious Conviction Offense	
22	Adjudication of Offender's Case	17
23	Gun Specifications in the Commitment	17
24	Length of Determinate Sentence for Most Serious Conviction Offense	18
25	Type of Drug Involved in Any of the Instant Conviction Offenses	
26	Offender's Legal Status at Arrest for the Conviction Offense	19
27	Whether Offender Violated Felony Probation or Parole Conditions	20
28	Role of the Offender and Others in the Most Serious Conviction Offense	20
29	Weapon Used/Possessed During Conviction Offense	
30	Type of Weapon Used During Conviction Offense	21
31	Drugs/Alcohol Used During Conviction Offense	
32	Primary Victim of the Most Serious Conviction Offense	
33	Gender of Victim of the Most Serious Conviction Offense.	
34	Victim Involvement in the Most Serious Conviction Offense	
35	Extent of Victim Injury from the Most Serious Conviction Offense	
36	Extent of Victim Psychological Harm from the Most Serious Conviction Offense	24

Table		Page
	PRIOR CRIMINAL HISTORY	
37	Age at First Arrest.	. 24
38	Age at Arrest for First Violent Offense	
39	Age at First Arrest Leading to a Delinquency Adjudication or Adult Felony Conviction	
40	Number of Juvenile Violent (Non-Sex) Offenses	
41	Number of Juvenile Sex Offenses.	
42	Number of Juvenile Drug Use/Possession Offenses.	
43	Number of Juvenile Drug Sale/Trafficking Offenses	
44	Number of Juvenile DUI/OMVI Offenses.	
45	Number of Juvenile Property Offenses	
46	Number of Juvenile Social Service Placements	28
47	Number of Commitments to Department of Youth Services	
48	Number of Juvenile Supervision Terms	
49	Number of Juvenile Probation Continuance Terms	
50	Number of Revocations of Juvenile Supervision Terms	29
51	Number of Adult Non-Violent Misdemeanor Convictions	
52	Number of Prior Adult DUI/OMVI Convictions	30
53	Number of Prior Adult Violent Misdemeanor Convictions	30
54	Number of Domestic Violence Convictions	. 30
55	Number of Prior Adult Jail Incarcerations	31
56	Number of Prior Adult Felony Convictions [Total]	31
57	Number of Prior Adult Violent (Non-Sex) Felony Convictions	31
58	Number of Prior Adult Sex Felony Convictions	
59	Number of Prior Adult Drug Use/Possession Felony Convictions	
60	Number of Prior Adult Drug Sale/Trafficking Felony Convictions	32
61	Number of Adult Property Felony Convictions	
62	Number of Prior Adult Prison Incarcerations.	
63	Number of Prior Adult Supervision Terms.	
64	Number of Prior Revocations of Adult Supervision	
65	Indication of an Escape History	
66	Rap Static Assessment Total Score.	
67	Rap Static Assessment Level.	35
	ASSESSMENT OF SB2 IMPACT	
A	Proportion of Each Year's Intake Who were Truly Non Violent	
В	Proportion of Each Year's TNV Intake Who were Supervision Violators	
C	Proportion of Each Year's Total Intake Who were Probation Violators	
D	Proportion of Each Year's Total Intake Who were Parole/PRC Violators	37

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### Social and Demographic Characteristics

- ➤ Of the 3477 offenders included in the study, 86.4% were male and 13.6% were female. [Table 1]
- The racial composition of the intake sample was: 41.59% African American, 57.12% Caucasian, and 1.29% Other. [Table 2]
- The ten counties with the greatest numbers of offenders committed to Ohio prisons during the intake study period were: Cuyahoga (N=661; 19.0%), Hamilton (N=324; 9.3%), Franklin (N=271; 7.8%), Summit (N=195; 5.6%), Montgomery (N=175; 5.0%), Stark (N=108; 3.1%), Lucas (N=106; 3.1%), Butler (N=101; 2.9%), Mahoning (N=72; 2.1%), Scioto (N=71; 2.0%). [Table 3]
- ➤ The average age at commitment of offenders in the intake study was 32.6 years and the median age was 30. Males had an average age of 32.5 and a median age of 30. Females had an average age of 33.1 and a median age of 31. [Table 4]
- At the time of arrest for the instant offense, 67.6% of the offenders were unemployed; 20.3% were employed full-time. Females were more likely to have been employed full time (21.9%) than males (20.0%). [Table 6]

### Current Most Serious Commitment Offense

- ➤ Over a third of the males (35.0%) were incarcerated for committing a crime against persons (including sex offenses) as their most serious offense. Close to one fourth (23.4%) of the males were convicted for committing a drug offense. Over one-third (34.5%) of the females were incarcerated for committing a drug offense as their most serious offense, while over one-fourth were incarcerated for a miscellaneous property offense (27.1%) and nearly one-fifth (18.0%) for committing crimes against persons (including sex offenses). [Table 20]
- ➤ The five offenses (most serious commitment offense) for which the male and female offenders in the sample were most often committed were: [Table 20]

# MALES FEMALES

Drug Trafficking	10.7%	Theft	19.9%
Drug Possession	10.0%	Drug Possession	18.0%
Burglary	9.4%	Drug Trafficking	9.5%
Theft	6.4%	Receiving Stolen Property	5.3%
Felonious Assault	4.8%	Burglary	5.1%

- Nearly half (48.2%) of the males and six-in-ten (60.9%) of the females in the study were incarcerated on a determinate sentence of between 6-12 months. Overall, 49.9% of the offenders were sentenced to no more than one year in prison. [Table 24]
- Weapons were involved, or present, in some manner, in the conviction offense in 29.4% the cases.\* [Table 29]

# **Criminal History**

- ➤ Men were more likely than women to have served a prior prison term (male = 52.7%; female = 29.6%). Almost half of the entire intake sample has served a prior prison term (49.6%). [Table 62]
- ➤ Over three-fourths of male offenders have had at least one prior adult supervision term; this is higher than the females (male = 76.4%; female = 69.9%). [Table 63]. Men were slightly more likely to have at least one prior revocation of adult supervision (male = 49.9%; female = 47.5%). [Table 64]
- Just over six in ten offenders (62.0%) had at least one prior adult felony conviction (male = 65.2%; female = 42.0%). [Table 56]
- ➤ Over one-fifth of the offenders (24.6%) have had at least one domestic violence conviction as an adult or juvenile (male = 26.5% female = 12.9%). [Table 54]
- > The bulk of offenders in the study scored to the basic level of assessment (male 92.7%; female 96.2%). Intensive prison programming applied to 6.8% of the offenders (male = 7.3%; female = 3.8%). [Table 67]

\* "involved" includes situations where the offender feigned having a weapon or where a weapon was present but not used in the commitment offense, in addition to situations in which a weapon was used to threaten, injure, or kill.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this report is to present a basic profile of newly committed inmates entering the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC) prison system during 2010. The profile of Intake 2010 inmates includes the following information: (1) demographic and social characteristics of the inmates, (2) characteristics of the current commitment offense, and (3) the inmate's prior criminal history. These tables may be used to compare the characteristics of inmates entering the prison system across the years for which similar data have been collected (1985, 1992, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009). Copies of many of the reports are available at:

http://www.drc.ohio.gov/web/reports/reports18.asp.

### <u>Methodology</u>

In general, data for intake studies are collected on all inmates who enter the DRC prison system over a one and a half to two month period. Information is obtained from six sources:

- (1) Interviews with inmates at reception centers;
- (2) Written investigations;
- (3) The OnBase information system, with offender background and BCI reports available in digitized form;
- (4) Ohio Law Enforcement Gateway (OHLEG);
- (5) Ohio Courts Network (OCN) and;
- (6) County web sites.

The interviews with the inmates, conducted by DRC classification specialists, take place at DRC's three reception centers housed at the Lorain Correctional Institution, the Ohio Reformatory for Women, and the Correctional Reception Center. The emphasis is on social history information not consistently available in offender files. Bureau of Research Offender History staff code this information into the Intake database.

The DRC would like to prepare a full investigation (either a PSI – Pre-Sentence Investigation – for the sentencing judge, an OBI – Offender Background Investigation – a similar document prepared with a focus on DRC information needs or an OBI Summary Sheet – which is a shortened form of an OBI) for each new inmate admitted. Unfortunately, resource and administrative demands prevent that on a routine basis. Inmates who will be serving sentences less than 90 days frequently enter the system without such a report. Also, the investigations for offenders expected to spend longer than three but less than 12 months are reduced in scope. During the intake study period, however, APA staff collect or prepare investigation reports for all offenders entering Ohio's prisons. This is to obtain an accurate representation of all inmates entering the prisons during the intake study period.

The investigation reports primarily consist of pre-sentence investigation and offender background information reports produced by Adult Parole Authority (APA) staff. Most reports are written by APA officers based in the jurisdiction where the offender committed his/her offense(s). The rest are prepared by the probation departments in the committing counties. The report is supplemented, if appropriate, with information on types and amounts of drugs and the value of the theft crimes. Central Office classification specialists then read through each investigation report, collect and code the information for the Intake Study and database.

With such a large data collection effort, it is inevitable that some of the necessary information on offenders will be missing from the investigation reports. When information is missing, classification specialists must obtain copies of documents available online in digitized form using OnBase, read through the available information and attempt to retrieve the missing information.

Information was collected on all inmates who entered the DRC prison system starting April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2010 and concluding June 18<sup>th</sup>, 2010. The resulting data set contains information on a sample of 3,477 newly committed inmates received by DRC during this period. This is used for a basic intake profile report and several more detailed reports. One is a report on Truly Non-Violent Offenders for 2010. Second, side-by-side county comparison tables for the ten highest committing counties as well as individualized county profiles for those counties are in an early stage of production. The third, a profile of Short Term offenders for 2010, is being prepared.

## Caveats Regarding the Data

There are several limitations to the data of which the reader should be aware when assessing this information. First, the reader should bear in mind that the characteristics of the offense apply to the most serious conviction offense only. One should be cautious when trying to establish the proportion of offenders serving time for particular offenses. For example, an offender may have been convicted for felonious assault and domestic violence. The proportion of offenders currently entering prison for domestic violence will be underestimated when looking only at the proportion of offenders committed for domestic violence as the most serious offense.

In this example a more accurate representation may be found by also considering offenders for whom domestic violence was the second most serious offense; however, we are not able to identify the number of offenders committed for domestic violence as a third or fourth most serious offense. While we believe that considering the most and second most serious offenses captures important offense characteristics for the majority of offenders entering prison for any given offense, estimates using this database must be considered conservative estimates. Similar precautions should be taken when estimating the various proportions of victim characteristics and other variables associated with particular offenses. The database also does not contain information on the number of counts of offenses upon which the inmate was sentenced.

A second concern regards juvenile offense data. The availability of juvenile records continues to be problematic. Many county juvenile courts have a policy of refusing access to juvenile records; some will permit access only with a signed waiver from the inmate. Other juvenile courts routinely destroy juvenile records for individuals born before a specific date. As a result, the completeness of the juvenile record information remains questionable. In addition, the severity of juvenile offenses is difficult to determine due to the varying types of records of juvenile criminal behavior. Great care should be taken when attempting to draw conclusions from juvenile criminal history information contained in the intake databases.

Several limitations of adult criminal histories in general should be noted. The reader should be aware that the historical offense information is only for prior adult <u>convictions</u>. Few conclusions can be drawn regarding arrests from the data. (An exception, not summarized in the report, is the number of arrests for five years prior to the instant offense, recorded in the intake database.) There is also no information recorded on indictment charges nor plea-bargaining for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For inquiries that require a greater degree of specificity, please contact the Bureau of Research & Evaluation for additional analysis.

prior convictions. For example, it is possible that an inmate was, at some previous time, charged with a violent offense but agreed to plead guilty to a lesser, non-violent offense. As a result, there may be a number of individuals in the Intake database who are identified as having no prior convictions for violent offenses, but they actually do have a history of violent behavior.

# Representativeness of the Sample

It is important to note how representative this cohort of inmates is when compared to the inmates being admitted throughout the year. Consequently, the Intake 2010 sample should be comparable to inmates admitted during CY 2010. The information below, taken from the CY 2010 Commitment Report, illustrates that the Intake 2010 sample closely resembles the year's intake on several basic features.

	INTAKE 2010 %	COMM. CY2010 %
Sex		
Female	13.6	13.1
Male	86.4	86.9
Race		
African American	41.6	42.8
Caucasian	57.1	55.8
Counties of Commitment		
Cuyahoga	19.0	19.6
Hamilton	9.3	8.9
Franklin	7.8	8.4
Montgomery	5.4	5.2
Type of Offense		
Crimes Against Persons	25.5	25.8
Sex Offenses	7.2	6.8
Burglary Offenses	10.2	9.8
Property Offenses	16.0	15.3
Drug Offenses	24.9	25.7
Motor Vehicle Offenses	1.8	1.7
Fraud Offenses	3.0	2.3
Weapons Offenses	5.4	5.3
Justice and Public Administration	6.0	7.0
Other Offenses	0.0	0.1
Mean Age in Years		
Female	33.1	32.9
Male	32.5	32.4

This comparison suggests strongly that the Intake 2010 sample is representative of all inmates admitted into ODRC's prisons in 2010.

### Structure of the Report

This report is organized into four sections. The first section presents the demographic and social characteristics of the 2010 Intake sample. The second section provides information on the characteristics of the most serious current commitment offense. Information regarding the offender's prior criminal history and reentry risk assessments are presented in section three. Section four includes an assessment of SB2 impact. In reviewing the tables, please be aware that due to rounding, percentages may not total exactly to 100%. This condition may be true for any table in this report.

# **DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS**

**TABLE 1: Gender** 

SEX	N	%
Male	3004	86.40
Female	473	13.60
TOTAL	3477	100.00

Of the 3,477 offenders included in the study, 86.4% were male and 13.6% were female.

**TABLE 2: Race/ Ethnicity** 

	M	ales	Fe	males	Total	
ETHNICITY	N	%	N	%	N	%
Asian	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
African American	1339	44.57	107	22.62	1446	41.59
Caucasian	1622	53.99	364	76.96	1986	57.12
Native American	0	0.00	1	0.21	1	0.03
Other	39	1.30	1	0.21	40	1.15
TOTAL	3004	100.00	473	100.00	3477	100.00

The racial composition of the intake sample was: 41.6% African American, 57.1% Caucasian, 1.2% Other, .12% Asian and .03% Native American .

**TABLE 3: County of Commitment** 

		Males		Females		Total
County	N	%	N	%	N	%
Adams	12	0.40	0	0.00	12	0.35
Allen	23	0.77	7	1.48	30	0.86
Ashland	8	0.27	2	0.42	10	0.29
Ashtabula	13	0.43	1	0.21	14	0.40
Athens	19	0.63	11	2.33	30	0.86
Auglaize	6	0.20	0	0.00	6	0.17
Belmont	1	0.03	1	0.21	2	0.06
Brown	10	0.33	0	0.00	10	0.29
Butler	82	2.73	19	4.02	101	2.90
Carroll	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Champaign	12	0.40	3	0.63	15	0.43
Clark	58	1.93	9	1.90	67	1.93
Clermont	45	1.50	11	2.33	56	1.61
Clinton	18	0.60	5	1.06	23	0.66
Columbiana	13	0.43	3	0.63	16	0.46
Coshocton	6	0.20	3	0.63	9	0.26

		Males		Females		Total
County	N	%	N	%	N	%
Crawford	13	0.43	1	0.21	14	0.40
Cuyahoga	586	19.51	75	15.86	661	19.01
Darke	6	0.20	2	0.42	8	0.23
Defiance	9	0.30	2	0.42	11	0.32
Delaware	32	1.07	6	1.27	38	1.09
Erie	24	0.80	9	1.90	33	0.95
Fairfield	17	0.57	3	0.63	20	0.58
Fayette	10	0.33	1	0.21	11	0.32
Franklin	244	8.12	27	5.71	271	7.79
Fulton	14	0.47	6	1.27	20	0.58
Gallia	8	0.27	1	0.21	9	0.26
Geauga	4	0.13	2	0.42	6	0.17
Greene	50	1.66	5	1.06	55	1.58
Guernsey	16	0.53	1	0.21	17	0.49
Hamilton	290	9.65	34	7.19	324	9.32
Hancock	13	0.43	3	0.63	16	0.46
Hardin	12	0.40	1	0.21	13	0.37
Harrison	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Henry	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Highland	23	0.77	3	0.63	26	0.75
Hocking	5	0.17	1	0.21	6	0.17
Holmes	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Huron	16	0.53	1	0.21	17	0.49
Jackson	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Jefferson	4	0.13	1	0.21	5	0.14
Knox	12	0.40	2	0.42	14	0.40
Lake	38	1.26	7	1.48	45	1.29
Lawrence	23	0.77	4	0.85	27	0.78
Licking	29	0.97	4	0.85	33	0.95
Logan	7	0.23	0	0.00	7	0.20
Lorain	57	1.90	8	1.69	65	1.87
Lucas	102	3.40	4	0.85	106	3.05
Madison	7	0.23	2	0.42	9	0.26
Mahoning	63	2.10	9	1.90	72	2.07
Marion	23	0.77	4	0.85	27	0.78
Medina	33	1.10	6	1.27	39	1.12
Meigs	7	0.23	1	0.21	8	0.23
Mercer	5	0.17	0	0.00	5	0.14
Miami	19	0.63	2	0.42	21	0.60
Monroe	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
Montgomery	146	4.86	29	6.13	175	5.03
Morgan	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
<b>6</b> ·	J	3.20	Ŭ	5.03	, ,	5

		Males		emales		otal
County	N	%	N	%	N	%
Morrow	9	0.30	1	0.21	10	0.29
Muskingum	23	0.77	6	1.27	29	0.83
Noble	3	0.10	1	0.21	4	0.12
Ottawa	3	0.10	1	0.21	4	0.12
Paulding	3	0.10	2	0.42	5	0.14
Perry	4	0.13	6	1.27	10	0.29
Pickaway	13	0.43	5	1.06	18	0.52
Pike	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Portage	21	0.70	6	1.27	27	0.78
Preble	8	0.27	1	0.21	9	0.26
Putnam	8	0.27	2	0.42	10	0.29
Richland	44	1.46	14	2.96	58	1.67
Ross	15	0.50	4	0.85	19	0.55
Sandusky	12	0.40	1	0.21	13	0.37
Scioto	52	1.73	19	4.02	71	2.04
Seneca	18	0.60	2	0.42	20	0.58
Shelby	18	0.60	7	1.48	25	0.72
Stark	99	3.30	9	1.90	108	3.11
Summit	173	5.76	22	4.65	195	5.61
Trumbull	32	1.07	6	1.27	38	1.09
Tuscarawas	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Union	6	0.20	1	0.21	7	0.20
Van Wert	6	0.20	0	0.00	6	0.17
Vinton	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Warren	38	1.26	7	1.48	45	1.29
Washington	15	0.50	2	0.42	17	0.49
Wayne	13	0.43	2	0.42	15	0.43
Williams	11	0.37	1	0.21	12	0.35
Wood	26	0.87	2	0.42	28	0.81
Wyandot	6	0.20	1	0.21	7	0.20
TOTAL	3004	100.00	473	100.00	3477	100.00

The ten counties with the greatest numbers of offenders committed to Ohio prisons during the intake study period were: Cuyahoga (N=661; 19.0%), Hamilton (N=324; 9.3%), Franklin (N=271; 7.8%), Summit (N=195; 5.6%), Montgomery (N=175; 5.0%), Stark (N=108; 3.1%), Lucas (N=106; 3.1%), Butler (N=101; 2.9%), Mahoning (N=72; 2.1%) and Scioto (N=71; 2.0%).

**TABLE 4: Age at Commitment** 

	M	Males		nales	Total	
AGE	N	%	N	%	N	%
Under 18	16	0.53	0	0.00	16	0.46
18	42	1.40	5	1.06	47	1.35
19	117	3.89	15	3.17	132	3.80
20	131	4.36	8	1.69	139	4.00
21	122	4.06	18	3.81	140	4.03
22	133	4.43	17	3.59	150	4.31
23	125	4.16	24	5.07	149	4.29
24	114	3.79	17	3.59	131	3.77
25	135	4.49	21	4.44	156	4.49
26	130	4.33	12	2.54	142	4.08
27	109	3.63	24	5.07	133	3.83
28	131	4.36	20	4.23	151	4.34
29	122	4.06	18	3.81	140	4.03
30	115	3.83	24	5.07	139	4.00
31	104	3.46	20	4.23	124	3.57
32	103	3.43	16	3.38	119	3.42
33	90	3.00	13	2.75	103	2.96
34	65	2.16	14	2.96	79	2.27
35	79	2.63	11	2.33	90	2.59
36	65	2.16	8	1.69	73	2.10
37	81	2.70	17	3.59	98	2.82
38	69	2.30	15	3.17	84	2.42
39	70	2.33	10	2.11	80	2.30
40	69	2.30	15	3.17	84	2.42
41-45	249	8.29	51	10.78	300	8.63
46-50	210	6.99	37	7.82	247	7.10
51-55	123	4.09	14	2.96	137	3.94
56-60	58	1.93	5	1.06	63	1.81
Over 60	27	0.90	4	0.85	31	0.89
TOTAL	3004	100.00	473	100.00	3477	100.00

<u>Males</u>		<u>Females</u>		<u>Total</u>		
Mean	= 32.48	Mean	= 33.07	Mean	= 32.56	
Median	= 30.00	Median	= 31.00	Median	= 30.00	

The average age of offenders in the intake study was 32.6 years and the median age was 30. Males had an average age of 32.5 and a median age of 30. Females had a mean age of 33.1 and a median age of 31. Sixteen offenders (.46%) were under the age of 18 at the time of admission to prison and 231 (6.6%) were older than 50.

**TABLE 5: Marital Status at Arrest** 

	Ma	Males		ales	Total		
MARITAL STATUS	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Single (never married)	2150	72.34	245	52.35	2395	69.62	
Married	286	9.62	54	11.54	340	9.88	
Separated	182	6.12	79	16.88	261	7.59	
Divorced	335	11.27	79	16.88	414	12.03	
Widowed	19	0.64	11	2.35	30	0.87	
TOTAL	2972	100.00	468	100.00	3440	100.00	

At the time of arrest (for the current most serious commitment offense), almost seven-in-ten (69.6%) of the offenders were single (never married), 9.9% were married and 20.5% were separated, widowed, or divorced. Men were more likely to have never been married (72.3%) than women (52.4%).

**TABLE 6: Employment Status at Arrest** 

Missing: 161

8						
	Males		Females		Total	
EMPLOYMENT STATUS	N	%	N	%	N	%
Unemployed*	1926	67.58	315	67.60	2241	67.58
Employed Part-time	184	6.46	31	6.65	215	6.48
Employed Full-time	571	20.04	102	21.89	673	20.30
Self-Employed	100	3.51	6	1.29	106	3.20
Temporary Agency	45	1.58	8	1.72	53	1.60
Seasonal Employment	24	0.84	4	0.86	28	0.84
TOTAL	2850	100.00	466	100.00	3316	100.00

<sup>\*</sup> Includes those who claim working under-the-table.

At the time of arrest for the instant offense, 67.6% of the offenders were unemployed; 20.3% were employed full-time. Females were more likely to have been employed full time (21.9%) than males (20.0%).

**TABLE 7: Education Level at Arrest** Missing:199

		lales		males	Total	
EDUCATION LEVEL	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Education Completed	1	0.04	0	0.00	1	0.03
2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade	0	0.00	1	0.22	1	0.03
4 <sup>th</sup> Grade	4	0.14	0	0.00	4	0.12
5 <sup>th</sup> Grade	6	0.21	0	0.00	6	0.18
6 <sup>th</sup> Grade	14	0.50	1	0.22	15	0.46
7 <sup>th</sup> Grade	23	0.82	2	0.43	25	0.76
8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	106	3.77	21	4.53	127	3.87
9 <sup>th</sup> Grade	239	8.49	32	6.90	271	8.27
10 <sup>th</sup> Grade	291	10.34	41	8.84	332	10.13
11 <sup>th</sup> Grade	347	12.33	44	9.48	391	11.93
High School Diploma	492	17.48	58	12.50	550	16.78
GED	505	17.95	48	10.34	553	16.87
GED + Vocational Training	74	2.63	17	3.66	91	2.78
Attended College	432	15.35	118	25.43	550	16.78
AA/AS Degree	56	1.99	16	3.45	72	2.20
BA/BS Degree	24	0.85	4	0.86	28	0.85
MA/MS Degree	5	0.18	1	0.22	6	0.18
PhD	3	0.11	0	0.00	3	0.09
Law Degree	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Medical Degree	1	0.04	0	0.00	1	0.03
High School Diploma + Vocational Training	148	5.26	35	7.54	183	5.58
8 <sup>th</sup> Grade + Vocational Training	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
9 <sup>th</sup> Grade + Vocational Training	1	0.04	4	0.86	5	0.15
10 <sup>th</sup> Grade + Vocational Training	11	0.39	5	1.08	16	0.49
11 <sup>th</sup> Grade + Vocational Training	20	0.71	14	3.02	34	1.04
High School Diploma + Some Vocational Training	5	0.18	2	0.43	7	0.21
TOTAL	2814	100.00	464	100.00	3278	100.00

At the time of arrest, the educational attainment of the males was as follows: 5.6% had an eighth grade education or less, 32.3% had some high school, 43.5% were high school graduates or the equivalent but had not attended college, and 18.6% had some college training or had graduated. The respective education rates for females were: 5.4%, 30.2%, 34.5% and 30.0%.

**TABLE 8: Indication of Military Service** 

	Males		Females		Tot	al
INDICATION OF MILITARY SERVICE	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Military Record	2801	93.74	463	98.93	3264	94.44
Claims a Military Record	187	6.26	5	1.07	192	5.56
TOTAL	2988	100.00	468	100.00	3456	100.00

Overall, 5.6% of the offenders entering the reception centers during the intake study period indicated that they had served in the military. Males claimed military service 6.3% of the time and females 1.1% of the time.

TABLE 9: Primary Living Arrangement from Birth to Age 18

Missing: 55

	Male	es	Females		Tota	al
LIVING ARRANGEMENT	N	%	N	%	N	%
Lived with Both Parents	1322	44.71	198	42.58	1520	44.42
Lived with Mother Only	1268	42.88	207	44.52	1475	43.10
Lived with Father Only	91	3.08	21	4.52	112	3.27
Lived with Grandparents	37	1.25	2	0.43	39	1.14
Lived with Other Relatives	41	1.39	8	1.72	49	1.43
Lived with Foster Parents	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Lived in Juvenile Institution	196	6.63	29	6.24	225	6.58
TOTAL	2957	100.00	465	100.00	3422	100.00

Males were more likely than females to have been raised by both parents (males 44.7%; females 42.6%). Females were just slightly more likely than males to have been raised by their mother alone (males 42.9%; females 44.5%). Males were more likely to have been raised by their grandparents (male = 1.25%; female= 0.43%).

TABLE 10: Indication of Physical Abuse as a Child or Adolescent

Missing: 70

	Males		Females		Total	
EVIDENCE OF PHYSICAL ABUSE	N	%	N	%	N	%
No	2652	90.05	276	59.74	2928	85.94
Yes	293	9.95	186	40.26	479	14.06
TOTAL	2945	100.00	462	100.00	3407	100.00

The data collected from self admissions, social and criminal history records indicate that the female inmates in the sample had a much higher percentage of physical abuse as a child or adolescent (male = 10.0%; female = 40.3%).

TABLE 11: Indication of Sexual Abuse as a Child or Adolescent

	Males		Females		Total	
EVIDENCE OF SEXUAL ABUSE	N	%	N	%	N	%
No	2800	94.53	283	60.86	3083	89.96
Yes	162	5.47	182	39.14	344	10.04
TOTAL	2962	100.00	465	100.00	3427	100.00

Female inmates in the sample indicated a much higher percentage of sexual abuse as a child or adolescent than their male counterparts (male = 5.5%; female = 39.1%).

**TABLE 12: History of Mental Health Problems** 

Missing: 33

HISTORY OF MENTAL HEALTH	Males		Females		Total	
PROBLEMS	N	%	N	%	N	%
None	2078	69.87	184	39.15	2262	65.68
Self-Admission/Evidence	74	2.49	18	3.83	92	2.67
Diagnosed with Mental Illness	21	0.71	6	1.28	27	0.78
Treated for Mental Illness	801	26.93	262	55.74	1063	30.87
TOTAL	2974	100.00	470	100.00	3444	100.00

Females in the study were more likely to have had a history of mental health problems than males (male = 30.1%; female = 60.9%).

TABLE 13: Indication of Recent Drug Abuse\* Missing: 38

	Males		Females		Total	
INDICATION OF RECENT DRUG ABUSE	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Indication	685	23.08	90	19.11	775	22.54
Self Admission/Evidence	2224	74.93	371	78.77	2595	75.46
Treatment of Drug Abuse	59	1.99	10	2.12	69	2.01
TOTAL	2968	100.00	471	100.00	3439	100.00

<sup>\*</sup>Within 6 months of arrest.

Concerning the prevalence of inmates involved in recent drug abuse, female offender rates were slightly higher than males (male = 76.9%; female = 80.9%). Overall, sixty-nine offenders (2.0%) had received treatment within the six months prior to their arrest (male = 2.0%; female = 2.1%).

<sup>\*</sup> Recent drug or alcohol abuse is abuse that occurred within the 6-month period prior to arrest for the current commitment offense. A history of drug abuse is abuse that occurred more than 6 months prior to that arrest date. 11

TABLE 14: Indication of a History of Drug Abuse\*

INDICATION OF HISTORY OF DRUG ABUSE	Males		Females		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Indication	272	9.18	52	11.11	324	9.45
Self Admission/Evidence	1685	56.89	178	38.03	1863	54.31
Diagnosis of Drug Abuse	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Treatment of Drug Abuse	1004	33.90	238	50.85	1242	36.21
TOTAL	2962	100.00	468	100.00	3430	100.00

<sup>\*</sup>More than 6 months prior to arrest.

Males were more likely than females to have had a history of drug abuse (male = 90.8%; female = 88.9%). Just over one-third of the offenders in the intake study (36.2%) had received drug treatment at some time in the past (male = 33.9%; female = 50.9%).

**TABLE 15: Indication of Recent Alcohol Abuse**\*

Missing: 25

INDICATION OF RECENT ALCOHOL	Males		Females		Total	
Abuse	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Indication	1604	53.81	240	50.96	1844	53.42
Self Admission/Evidence	1329	44.58	228	48.41	1557	45.10
Diagnosis of a Problem	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Treatment of Alcohol Abuse	47	1.58	3	0.64	50	1.45
TOTAL	2981	100.00	471	100.00	3452	100.00

<sup>\*</sup>Within 6 months of arrest.

Almost half (46.2%) of the males had indications of recent alcohol abuse. Females had indications of recent alcohol abuse in 49.0% of the cases.

TABLE 16: Indication of a History of Alcohol Abuse\*

Missing: 26

INDICATION OF HISTORY OF ALCOHOL	Males		Females		Total	
ABUSE	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Indication	841	28.22	126	26.75	967	28.02
Self Admission/Evidence	1328	44.56	186	39.49	1514	43.87
Diagnosis of a Problem	0	0.00	1	0.21	1	0.03
Treatment of Alcohol Abuse	811	27.21	158	33.55	969	28.08
TOTAL	2980	100.00	471	100.00	3451	100.00

<sup>\*</sup>More than 6 months prior to arrest.

Data indicated that females were more likely than males to have indications of prior alcohol abuse (males=71.8%; females 73.3%). Females were more likely than males to have had prior treatment for an alcohol problem (male = 27.2%; female = 33.6%).

<sup>\*</sup> Recent drug or alcohol abuse is abuse that occurred within the 6-month period prior to arrest for the current commitment offense. A history of drug abuse is abuse that occurred more than 6 months prior to that arrest date.

**TABLE 17: Indication of the Completion of Substance Abuse Treatment** 

INDICATION OF TREATMENT PROGRAM	Males		Fem	ales	Total	
COMPLETION	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Indication of Treatment	1530	51.57	165	35.03	1695	49.30
Failure to Comply with Court	251	8.46	70	14.86	321	9.34
Began Treatment/Compliance Unknown	36	1.21	3	.64	39	1.13
In Treatment at Time of Arrest	6	0.20	1	0.21	7	0.20
Completed Treatment	930	31.34	197	41.83	1127	32.78
Treatment After Arrest Only	214	7.21	35	7.43	249	7.24
TOTAL	2967	100.00	471	100.00	3438	100.00

Female offenders were more likely than males to have completed substance abuse treatment at some time prior to their arrest on the instant offense. (males =31.3%; females = 41.8%) About a tenth (males, 9.7% and females 15.5%) failed to comply with court orders for treatment or began treatment and their compliance was unknown. Some of the offenders, 7.2% of the males and 7.4% of the females, began substance abuse treatment only after their arrest for the instant offense.

**TABLE 18: Living Arrangement at Time of Arrest** 

Missing: 150

LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF	Ma	les	Fem	ales	То	tal
Arrest	N	%	N	%	N	%
Lived:						
Alone	408	14.26	54	11.59	462	13.89
w/Domestic Partner	393	13.74	93	19.96	486	14.61
w/Domestic Partner and Children	607	21.22	94	20.17	701	21.07
w/Dependent Children	16	0.56	86	18.45	102	3.07
w/Adult Children	18	0.63	14	3.00	32	0.96
w/Parent/Guardian	841	29.40	61	13.09	902	27.11
w/Adult Sibling	124	4.33	8	1.72	132	3.97
w/Grandparents	118	4.12	3	0.64	121	3.64
w/Other Relative	72	2.52	4	0.86	76	2.28
w/Friend/Roommate	140	4.89	35	7.51	175	5.26
Homeless	102	3.57	13	2.79	115	3.46
Supervised Setting	22	0.77	1	0.21	23	0.69
TOTAL	2861	100.00	466	100.00	3327	100.00

At the time of their arrest, males were most likely to live with a parent or guardian (29.4%) while females lived with a parent or guardian 13.1% of the time. On the other hand, females were much more likely to live with their dependent children (18.5%) compared to the males (0.6%).

TABLE 19: Number of Dependent Children at Time of Arrest

NUMBER OF DEPENDENT CHILDREN AT	Males		Fema	ıles	Total	
TIME OF ARREST	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2301	78.61	288	61.41	2589	76.24
1	220	7.52	87	18.55	307	9.04
2	202	6.90	50	10.66	252	7.42
3	139	4.75	23	4.90	162	4.77
4	37	1.26	14	2.99	51	1.50
5	21	0.72	3	0.64	24	0.71
6 or more	7	0.24	4	0.85	11	0.32
TOTAL	2927	100.00	469	100.00	3396	100.00

Just over one-fifth, 21.4%, of the male offenders and 38.6% of the female offenders had dependent children living with them at the time of arrest. Counting only those offenders who had lived with dependent children, the mean number of children living with the males was 2.1 and for female offenders the number was 2.0.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF CURRENT COMMITMENT OFFENSE

**TABLE 20: Most Serious Conviction Offense\*** 

OFFENSES	Ma	ales	Fen	nales	To	otal
OFFENSES	N	%	N	%	N	%
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	807	26.86	79	16.70	886	25.48
Abduction	14	0.47	0	0.00	14	0.40
Aggravated Arson	7	0.23	1	0.21	8	0.23
Aggravated Assault	36	1.20	8	1.69	44	1.27
Aggravated Murder	16	0.53	2	0.42	18	0.52
Aggravated Menacing	7	0.23	0	0.00	7	0.20
Aggravated Robbery	107	3.56	1	0.21	108	3.11
Aggravated Vehicular Assault	12	0.40	4	0.85	16	0.46
Aggravated Vehicular Homicide	11	0.37	1	0.21	12	0.35
Assault	21	0.70	5	1.06	26	0.75
Contributing To Non-Support Of Dependents	83	2.76	1	0.21	84	2.42
Domestic Violence	118	3.93	6	1.27	124	3.57
Endangering Children	9	0.30	9	1.90	18	0.52
Fail Provide for Impaired Person	0	0.00	1	0.21	1	0.03
Felonious Assault	145	4.83	14	2.96	159	4.57
Harassment By Inmate	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Phone Harassment	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
Intimidation	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Inducing Panic	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Involuntary Manslaughter	15	0.50	3	0.63	18	0.52
Kidnapping	15	0.50	1	0.21	16	0.46
Murder	32	1.07	4	0.85	36	1.04
Negligent Homicide	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Retaliation	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Robbery	139	4.63	18	3.81	157	4.52
Voluntary Manslaughter	7	0.23	0	0.00	7	0.20
Child Enticement	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03

\*The characteristics of the committing offenses are based on the *most serious conviction offense* only. Some offenders may have been incarcerated for a number of offenses, but the characteristics reported to be associated with the commitment crime reflect the information as it relates to the most serious conviction offense only.

Offenses	Ma N	ales %	Fen N	nales %	To N	otal %
SEX OFFENSES / REGISTRATION	245	8.16	6	1.27	251	7.22
Disseminating Obscene Information	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Duty to Register as a Sex Offender	10	0.33	0	0.00	10	0.29
Gross Sexual Imposition	35	1.17	0	0.00	35	1.01
Importuning	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Pandering Obscenity Involving a Minor	20	0.67	0	0.00	20	0.58
Periodic Verification of Address (Sex Offender)	30	1.00	1	0.21	31	0.89
Failure To Notify Change Of Address	43	1.43	0	0.00	43	1.24
Promoting Prostitution	0	0.00	4	0.85	4	0.12
Rape	51	1.70	0	0.00	51	1.47
Sexual Battery	18	0.60	0	0.00	18	0.52
Unlawful Sexual Conduct with a Minor	32	1.07	0	0.00	32	0.92
Soliciting After Positive HIV	1	0.03	1	0.21	2	0.06
BURGLARY OFFENSES	328	10.92	27	5.71	355	10.21
Aggravated Burglary	45	1.50	3	0.63	48	1.38
Burglary	283	9.42	24	5.07	307	8.83
MISCELLANEOUS PROPERTY CRIMES	420	14.20	120	27.06	<i>E F 7</i>	16.02
Arson	<b>429</b> 3	<b>14.28</b> 0.10	<b>128</b> 0	0.00	<b>557</b> 3	<b>16.02</b> 0.09
Breaking & Entering	94	3.13	3	0.63	97	2.79
Disrupting Public Services	7	0.23	0	0.00	7	0.20
Receiving Stolen Property	108	3.60	25	5.29	133	3.83
Safecracking	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
Theft	191	6.36	94	19.87	285	8.20
Theft in Office	1	0.03	1	0.21	2	0.06
Unauthorized Use of Vehicle	5	0.17	1	0.21	6	0.17
Vandalism	16	0.53	2	0.42	18	0.52
Trafficking in Food Stamps	1	0.03	2	0.42	3	0.09
DRUG OFFENSES	703	23.40	163	34.46	866	24.91
Corrupting Another with Drugs	4	0.13	2	0.42	6	0.17
Deception to Obtain Dangerous Drug	12	0.40	7	1.48	19	0.55
Drug Possession	300	9.99	85	17.97	385	11.07
Drug Trafficking	322	10.72	45	9.51	367	10.56
Illegal Mfg of Drug or Cultivation of Marihuana	49	1.63	14	2.96	63	1.81
Illegal Processing of Drug Documents	9	0.30	6	1.27	15	0.43
Permitting Drug Abuse	5	0.17	3	0.63	8	0.23
Sale Counterfeit Drugs	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Tampering with Drugs	0	0.00	1	0.21	1	0.03
MOTOR VEHICLE OFFENSES	57	1.90	5	1.06	62	1.78
Operating Motor Vehicle Under the Influence	56	1.86	5	1.06	61	1.75
Failure Stop After Accident	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
FRAUD OFFENSES	68	2.26	36	7.61	104	2.99
Forgery	36	1.20	23	4.86	59	1.70
Misuse of Credit Card	5	0.17	3	0.63	8	0.23
Passing Bad Checks	5	0.17	4	0.85	9	0.26
Taking Identity of Another	11	0.37	4	0.85	15	0.43
Tampering with Records	7	0.23	2	0.42	9	0.26
Criminal Simulation	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Insurance Fraud Workers Compensation Fraud	1 2	0.03 0.07	0 0	0.00	1 2	0.03 0.06
WEAPONS OFFENSES	175	5.83	12	2.54	187	5.38
Carrying a Concealed Weapon	42 106	1.40	1	0.21	43	1.24
Having a Weapon Under Disability Improper Handling of Firearm	106 19	3.53	2	0.42	108	3.11
Bringing Weapons into a Detention Facility	19 6	0.63 0.20	0 8	0.00 1.69	19 14	0.55 0.40
Firearms Specification	2	0.20	o 1	0.21	3	0.40
r nearns opecification	2	0.07	1	0.21	3	0.09

Offenses	M	ales	Fer	nales	T	otal
OFFENSES	N	%	N	%	N	%
OFFENSES AGAINST JUSTICE/PUBLIC						
ADMINISTRATION	192	6.39	17	3.59	209	6.01
Engaging in Pattern of Corrupt Activity	13	0.43	2	0.42	15	0.43
Escape	32	1.07	4	0.85	36	1.04
Failure to Appear	7	0.23	2	0.42	9	0.26
Making False Alarms	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Intimidation of Atty./Victim/Witness in Crim. Case	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Impersonating an Officer	2	0.07	1	0.21	3	0.09
Obstructing Justice	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Obstructing Official Business	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
Possessing Criminal Tools	7	0.23	0	0.00	7	0.20
Tampering with Evidence	36	1.20	5	1.06	41	1.18
Violating Protection Order	7	0.23	0	0.00	7	0.20
Violation Release own Recognizance	7	0.23	1	0.21	8	0.23
Bribery	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Participating in a Criminal Gang	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Fail to Comply	63	2.10	1	0.21	64	1.84
Complicity	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
Conspiracy	3	0.10	1	0.21	4	0.12
TOTAL	3004	100.00	473	100.00	3477	100.00

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Attempted offenses are included in the primary categories.

Over a third of the males (35.0%) were incarcerated for committing a crime against persons (including sex offenses) as their most serious offense. Just under one fourth (23.4%) of the males were convicted for committing a drug offense. Over one-third (34.5%) of the females were incarcerated for committing a drug offense as their most serious offense, while over one-fourth were incarcerated for a miscellaneous property offense (27.1%) and roughly one-fifth (18.0%) for committing crimes against persons (including sex offenses).

The top five offenses in the 2009 intake sample were:

# MALES FEMALES

Drug Trafficking	10.7%	Theft	19.9%
Drug Possession	10.0%	Drug Possession	18.0%
Burglary	9.4%	Drug Trafficking	9.5%
Theft	6.4%	Receiving Stolen Property	5.3%
Felonious Assault	4.8%	Burglary	5.1%

### **OVERALL**

Drug Possession	11. <mark>1</mark> %
Drug Trafficking	10.6%
Burglary	8.8%
Theft	8.2%
Felonious Assault	4.6%

**TABLE 21: Felony Level-Most Serious Conviction Offense** 

	Males		Fema	ales	Total	
FELONY LEVEL	N	%	N	%	N	%
Death	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Life	48	1.60	5	1.06	53	1.52
1st	289	9.62	13	2.75	302	8.69
2nd	431	14.35	45	9.51	476	13.69
3rd	803	26.73	106	22.41	909	26.14
4th	693	23.07	111	23.47	804	23.12
5th	738	24.57	193	40.80	931	26.78
Total	3004	100.00	473	100.00	3477	100.00

Roughly half (49.9%) of the offenders in the study were sentenced on felony four or five offenses (males 47.6%; females 64.3%).

TABLE 22: Adjudication of Offender's Case

Missing: 5

	Ma	Males		ales	Total	
ADJUDICATION	N	%	N	%	N	%
Guilty Plea	2933	97.80	469	99.15	3402	97.98
Convicted by Judge/Jury	66	2.20	4	0.85	70	2.02
TOTAL	2999	100.00	473	100.00	3472	100.00

Overwhelmingly, offenders (98.0%) pled guilty to charges (male = 97.8%; female = 99.2%).

**TABLE 23: Gun Time in Conviction** 

Missing: 46

	M	ales	Fe	males	To	otal
GUN SPECIFICATION TIME IN CONVICTION	N	%	N	%	N	%
None	2760	93.18	465	99.15	3225	94.00
1 Years	84	2.84	1	0.21	85	2.48
2 Years	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
3 Years	102	3.44	3	0.64	105	3.06
4 Years	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
6 Years	5	0.17	0	0.00	5	0.15
7 Years	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
9 Years	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
12 Years	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
TOTAL	2962	100.00	469	100.00	3431	100.00

Firearm specifications were added to convictions in 6.8% of the male cases and 0.85% of the female cases. Three-year specifications were the most prevalent, making up 50.5% of the male and 75.0% of the female gun specifications.

**TABLE 24: Determinate Sentence for Most Serious Conviction Offense** 

	Ma	Males		nales	Total	
SENTENCE TERM (IN YEARS)	N	%	N	%	N	%
Less than Six Months	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
Six Months	328	10.92	68	14.38	396	11.39
More Than 6 Mo. and Less than 1Yr.	496	16.51	122	25.79	618	17.77
1Year	623	20.74	98	20.72	721	20.74
>1-1.50	280	9.32	46	9.73	326	9.38
1.51- 2.0	344	11.45	44	9.30	388	11.16
>2.0 - 2.5	9	0.30	0	0.00	9	0.26
>2.5 Yrs. And Less than 3.0Yrs	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
3Yrs.	321	10.69	39	8.25	360	10.35
>3 Yrs. And Less than 4.0 Yrs.	0	0.00	1	0.21	1	0.03
4.0 Yrs.	255	8.49	34	7.19	289	8.31
>4.0Yrs and Less than 5.0 Yrs	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
5 Years	109	3.63	11	2.33	120	3.45
6 Years	40	1.33	1	0.21	41	1.18
7 Years	30	1.00	1	0.21	31	0.89
8 Years	39	1.30	0	0.00	39	1.12
9 Years	22	0.73	3	0.63	25	0.72
10 Years	49	1.63	0	0.00	49	1.41
15 Years	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
777.77	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Life 888	9	0.30	1	0.21	10	0.29
Indeterminate Sentence	42	1.40	4	0.85	46	1.32
TOTAL	3004	100.00	473	100.00	3477	100.00

Nearly half (48.2%) of the males and six-in-ten (60.9%) of the females in the study were incarcerated on a determinate sentence of between 6-12 months. Overall, 49.9% of the offenders were sentenced to no more than one year in prison.

**TABLE 25: Type of Drug Involved in Any of the Instant Conviction Offenses** Missing: 13

		Males		Females		Total	
Type of Drug	N	%	N	%	N	%	
No Drugs Involved	2143	71.60	288	61.15	2431	70.18	
Drugs Present/Incident	43	1.44	4	0.85	47	1.36	
Cocaine, Crack	279	9.32	55	11.68	334	9.64	
Cocaine, Powder	24	0.80	2	0.42	26	0.75	
Cocaine, Unspecified	27	0.90	5	1.06	32	0.92	
Heroin	106	3.54	31	6.58	137	3.95	
Marijuana	102	3.41	9	1.91	111	3.20	
LSD/Acid	5	0.17	1	0.21	6	0.17	
Crystal Meth/Ice	24	0.80	10	2.12	34	0.98	
Amphetamines	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06	
Pharmaceuticals	96	3.21	41	8.70	137	3.95	
Counterfeit Drugs	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09	
Chemical/Inhalant	17	0.57	0	0.00	17	0.49	

18

	Males		Fe	Females		`otal
Type of Drug	N	%	N	%	N	%
Drug Paraphernalia	5	0.17	0	0.00	5	0.14
Drug Residue	18	0.60	10	2.12	28	0.81
Crack Cocaine + Marijuana	39	1.30	12	2.55	51	1.47
Powder Cocaine + Heroin	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
Powder Cocaine + Marijuana	9	0.30	0	0.00	9	0.26
Unspecified Cocaine + Heroin	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
Unspecified Cocaine + Marijuana	9	0.30	0	0.00	9	0.26
Heroin and Crystal Meth	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Marijuana + LSD	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
Crack Cocaine + Heroin	8	0.27	2	0.42	10	0.29
Ecstasy	16	0.53	1	0.21	17	0.49
Multiple Drug Types	11	0.37	0	0.00	11	0.32
TOTAL	2993	100.00	471	100.00	3464	100.00

Drugs were involved in 29.8% of the intake overall (males = 28.4%; females = 38.9%). In the 1,033 instances where drugs were involved in the offense, 395 or 38.2% involved crack cocaine, either by itself or in combination with another drug (males = 38.4%; females = 37.7%).

Less than half of the offenders in the sample (40.3%) were on some type of supervision, warrant, or escapee status or were incarcerated at the time of their arrest for the instant offense (male = 40.9%; female = 36.2%). The most common status for those under some type of legal oversight was probation (male = 60.2%; female = 87.7%).

**TABLE 26: Offender's Legal Status at Arrest for the Conviction Offense** Missing: 10

	Ma	Males		nales	Total	
LEGAL STATUS	N	%	N	%	N	%
Free of CJ Supervision	1769	59.08	302	63.85	2071	59.73
Active Arrest Warrant	75	2.51	7	1.48	82	2.37
Released on Own Recognizance/Bond	196	6.55	2	.42	198	5.71
On Probation	737	24.62	150	31.71	887	25.58
On Parole	207	6.91	11	2.33	218	6.29
In Jail	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
In Prison/DYS	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Escapee	2	0.07	1	.21	3	0.09
TOTAL	2994	100.00	473	100.00	3467	100.00

TABLE 27: Whether Offender Violated Felony Probation or Parole Conditions

	Males		Females		To	tal
VIOLATION STATUS	N	%	N	%	N	%
Offender was not a Violator	2039	68.22	310	65.54	2349	67.85
Technical Probation Violator	289	9.67	53	11.21	342	9.88
New Crime and Technical Violation/Returned to Prison on the Technical Violation	2	0.07	2	0.42	4	0.12
New Crime Probation Violator	449	15.02	96	20.30	545	15.74
New Crime Parole/PRC Violator	210	7.03	12	2.54	222	6.41
TOTAL	2989	100.00	473	100.00	3462	100.00

All the offenders in this sample were entering prison for a new felony conviction and commitment from a county Court of Common Pleas. However, some were on supervision when they committed the actions for which they were sent to prison. Nearly one-third of the males (31.8%) and just over one-third of the females (34.5%) in the study were incarcerated on either a technical or new crime violation of felony probation or a new crime violation of parole.

**TABLE 28: Role of the Offender and Others in the Most Serious Conviction Offense Missing: 20** 

	Males		Females		Total	
OFFENDER/OTHERS' ROLE(S)	N	%	N	%	N	%
Offender Acted Alone	2206	73.83	290	61.83	2496	72.20
Others Present, but Not Arrested	182	6.09	33	7.04	215	6.22
One or More Others Charged	155	5.19	26	5.54	181	5.24
One or More Others Went to Trial	25	0.84	10	2.13	35	1.01
One or More Others Convicted, Incarceration Status Unknown	15	0.50	5	1.07	20	0.58
One or More Others Convicted and Incarcerated	306	10.24	80	17.06	386	11.17
One or More Others Prob./Comm. Control	99	3.31	25	5.33	124	3.59
TOTAL						
TOTAL	2988	100.00	469	100.00	3457	100.00

Almost three-fourths (72.2%) of the offenders acted alone in the commission of the offense for which they were committed (male = 73.8%; female = 61.8%). Overall, in the 961 cases where the offender acted with someone else in the commission of the offense, the other offender was also incarcerated in 40.2% of the cases (male = 39.1%; female = 44.7%).

TABLE 29:
Weapon Used/Possessed/Present During Conviction Offense

WEAPON USED/POSSESSED/ PRESENT DURING	Males		Fe	Females		otal
Conviction Offense		N %		N %	N	%
Weapon Incidental to Crime	65	6.91	5	6.58	70	6.88
Weapon Present, but Not Used	143	15.20	1	1.32	144	14.16
Feigned Possession of Weapon	17	1.81	1	1.32	18	1.77
Used by Other Actor w/Offender	29	3.08	8	10.53	37	3.64
Offender Threatened Use	139	14.77	3	3.95	142	13.96
Used in Attempt to Injure	82	8.71	6	7.89	88	8.65
Used Weapon to Injure	405	43.04	45	59.21	450	44.25
Used Weapon to Kill	61	6.48	7	9.21	68	6.69
TOTAL	941	100.00	76	100.00	1017	100.00

Weapons were involved, or present, in some manner, in the conviction offense in 29.4% of the cases (1017 offenders). Table 29 sorts the weapons used only for those cases where there was a weapon. In the 941 male offenses where weapons were involved, non-fatal injury occurred 43.0% of the time and death occurred in 6.5% of the cases. Females had weapons involved in 76 cases. In 59.2% of the cases, non-fatal injuries occurred, and death resulted 9.2% of the time.

**TABLE 30: Type of Weapon Used During Conviction Offense** 

Missing: 12

	Males		Females		T	otal
Type of Weapon Used During Conviction Offense	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Weapon/Incidental/Brute Force	2375	79.38	422	89.22	2797	80.72
Handgun	453	15.14	23	4.86	476	13.74
Rifle-Shotgun	29	0.97	0	0.00	29	0.84
Assault Weapon	4	0.13	0	0.00	4	0.12
Sharp Instrument	69	2.31	18	3.81	87	2.51
Blunt Instrument	21	0.70	6	1.27	27	0.78
Other	35	1.17	4	0.85	39	1.13
Multiple Weapons	6	0.20	0	0.00	6	0.17
	2992	100.00	473	100.00	3465	100.00

In 19.3% (668) of the cases, an actual weapon, aside from brute force/ fists, was used (males 20.6%; females 10.8%). In the instances where a weapon was used, males used a handgun 73.4% of the time. Sharp instruments were second at 11.2%. Females used a handgun 45.1% of the time where a weapon was used. Second choice for females was a sharp instrument (35.3%).

**TABLE 31: Drugs/Alcohol Used During Conviction Offense** 

DRUGS/ALCOHOL USED DURING CONVICTION	М	ales	Fe	males	Total	
Offense	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Indication	1384	47.38	199	42.61	1583	46.72
Drugs	735	25.16	202	43.25	937	27.66
Alcohol	401	13.73	30	6.42	431	12.72
Both	400	13.69	36	7.71	436	12.87
Yes, Substance not Specified	1	.03	0	.00	1	.03
TOTAL	2921	100.00	467	100.00	3388	100.00

Over half (53.3%) of the offenders were under the influence of drugs, alcohol or both at the time of at least one of the instant conviction offenses (male = 52.6%; female = 57.4%). Over one-quarter (27.7%) were under the influence of drugs. Females were more likely than males to have been under the influence of drugs (male = 25.2%; female = 43.3%). Males were more likely to have been under the influence of alcohol (13.7%) than females (6.4%). Similarly, males were more likely than females to be under the influence of both alcohol and drugs at the time of their offense (male = 13.7%; female = 7.7%).

**TABLE 32: Primary Victim of the Most Serious Conviction Offense** 

Missing: 86

	Ma	Males		nales	То	tal
VICTIM RELATIONSHIP TO OFFENDER	N	%	N	%	N	%
No Direct Victim	1144	39.18	186	39.49	1330	39.22
Family Member	223	7.64	49	10.40	272	8.02
Friend or Acquaintance	625	21.40	69	14.65	694	20.47
Work or School Associate	6	0.21	5	1.06	11	0.32
Any Corrections or Law Enforcement Employee	57	1.95	8	1.70	65	1.92
Other	3	0.10	5	1.06	8	0.24
Stranger	590	20.21	77	16.35	667	19.67
Non-Personal*	272	9.32	72	15.29	344	10.14
TOTAL	2920	100.00	471	100.00	3391	100.00

<sup>\*</sup>This category includes: business/place of employment, non-profit organization, and state or county government institution/property.

Friends or acquaintances (20.5%) were slightly more likely than strangers (19.7%) to be the primary victims of an offense. Family members were listed as the victim in 8.0% of the cases examined.

**TABLE 33: Gender of Victim of the Most Serious Conviction Offense** 

	Mal	Males		ales	Total		
VICTIM GENDER	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Non Personal	1416	48.05	259	55.70	1674	49.08	
Male	712	24.16	93	20.00	805	23.60	
Female	819	27.79	113	24.30	932	27.32	
TOTAL	2947	100.00	465	100.00	3411	100.00	

In cases where there was a personal victim, 53.7% were female and 46.3% were male.

TABLE 34: Victim Involvement in the Most Serious Conviction Offense

Missing: 26

	Mal	Males		nales	Total	
VICTIM INVOLVEMENT	N	%	N	%	N	%
Non Personal	1069	35.85	184	39.23	1253	36.31
No Victim Precipitation	1856	62.24	280	59.70	2136	61.90
Indication of Victim Precipitation	57	1.91	5	1.07	62	2.80
TOTAL	2982	100.00	469	100.00	3451	100.00

Of the most serious conviction offenses, 36.3% did not involve a direct victim, whether the victim was a person or organization. In the cases where there was a direct victim, 97.2% had no victim precipitation. There were indications of victim involvement in 2.8% of the cases where there was a direct victim.

TABLE 35: Extent of Victim Injury from the Most Serious Conviction Offense Missing: 39

	Males		Females		Т	otal
EXTENT OF VICTIM BODILY INJURY	N	%	N	%	N	%
Not Applicable	1412	47.57	258	54.89	1670	48.57
No Bodily Injury to Victim	1019	34.33	150	31.91	1169	34.00
Some Bodily Injury - No Treatment Required	199	6.70	18	3.83	217	6.31
Injury w/Medical Treatment Required at Scene Only	22	0.74	2	0.43	24	0.70
Injury Requiring Out Patient Treatment	163	5.49	28	5.96	191	5.56
Injury Requiring In-Patient Hospitalization	79	2.66	4	0.85	83	2.41
Victim was Killed by Offender(s)	74	2.49	10	2.13	84	2.44
TOTAL	2968	100.00	470	100.00	3438	100.00

Just under half (48.6%) of the most serious conviction offenses were for non-personal crimes or had no direct victim. Where there was a personal victim, 66.1% received no bodily injury as a result of the offense. Treatment was received by 57.9% of the 515 non-fatally injured victims. Offenses resulting in death of the victim occurred in 4.8% of the cases where a personal victim was identified.

TABLE 36: Extent of Victim Psychological Harm from the Most Serious Conviction Offense

EXTENT OF VICTIM PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM	Males		Fe	males	Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Not Applicable (non-personal crime)	1416	68.51	258	83.23	1674	70.42
Not Applicable Because Victim Died	78	3.77	10	3.23	88	3.70
No Psychological Harm was Indicated by the Victim	244	11.80	36	11.61	280	11.78
Victim Sustained Some Psychological Harm/Fear	308	14.90	5	1.61	313	13.17
Victim Sustained Psych. Harm/Required Treatment	21	1.02	1	0.32	22	0.93
TOTAL	2067	100.00	310	100.00	2377	100.00

For several hundred cases in the sample, there was no indication whether the victim had psychological harm. Those cases are part of the "missing" for this table. With those cases removed, approximately seven-in-ten (70.4%) of the most serious conviction offenses were non-personal crimes. In the cases where personal victims were identified (703), 88 (12.5%) died. Additionally, victims sustained some or significant psychological harm/fear 47.7% of the time. Fewer victims indicated that no psychological harm/fear resulted from the offense (39.8%).

## PRIOR CRIMINAL HISTORY

**TABLE 37: Age at First Arrest** 

Missing: 3

	Mal	es	Fem	ales	Total	
AGE AT FIRST ARREST	N	%	N	%	N	%
Younger than 10	39	1.30	0	0.00	39	1.12
10-14	716	23.86	50	10.57	766	22.05
15-19	1446	48.18	160	33.83	1606	46.23
20-24	489	16.29	133	28.12	622	17.90
25-29	164	5.46	66	13.95	230	6.62
30-34	45	1.50	25	5.29	70	2.01
35-39	47	1.57	18	3.81	65	1.87
40-44	22	0.73	10	2.11	32	0.92
45-49	17	0.57	6	1.27	23	0.66
50 or Older	16	0.53	5	1.06	21	0.60
TOTAL	3001	100.00	473	100.00	3474	100.00

Males		<u>Females</u>		<u>Total</u>	
Mean	= 18.30	Mean	= 22.27	Mean	= 18.84
Median	= 18.00	Median	= 20.00	Median	= 18.00

The mean age at first arrest for offenders in the intake study was 18.8 years (male = 18.3; female = 22.3). Thirty-nine offenders (1.1%), all male, were first arrested before they were ten-years-old. Twenty-one offenders (0.60%) were first arrested at the age of fifty or older.

Table 38: Age at Arrest for First Violent Offense

AGE AT ARREST FO	R FIRST VIOLENT OFFENSI	E M	Males		Females		Total	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
No Violent Offense Arres	st	531	17.68	226	47.78	757	21.77	
Less Than 10		16	0.53	0	0.00	16	0.46	
10-14		391	13.02	26	5.50	417	11.99	
15-19		923	30.73	46	9.73	969	27.87	
20-24		559	18.61	65	13.74	624	17.95	
25-29		282	9.39	44	9.30	326	9.38	
30-34		125	4.16	25	5.29	150	4.31	
35-39		82	2.73	26	5.50	108	3.11	
40-44		48	1.60	7	1.48	55	1.58	
45-49		21	0.70	5	1.06	26	0.75	
50 or Older		26	0.87	3	0.63	29	0.83	
TOTAL		3004	100.00	473	100.00	3477	100.00	
Males*	Females*	Total*						
$\overline{\text{Mean}} = 21.09$	$\overline{\text{Mean}} = 25.05$	Mean	= 21.45					
Median $= 19.00$	Median $= 24.00$	Median	= 19.00					

<sup>\*</sup>For those who have a violent arrest

For offenders who had ever been arrested for a violent offense, the mean age at their first arrest for a violent offense was 21.5 years. Females (25.1 years) were older than males (21.1 years) at their first arrest for a violent offense. Over three-fourths (82.3%) of the males and over half of the females (52.2%) had an arrest for a violent offense.

TABLE 39: Age at First Arrest Leading to a Delinquency Adjudication or Adult Felony Conviction
Missing: 3

	Mal	Males			Total	
AGE AT FIRST CONVICTION	N	%	N	%	N	%
Younger than 10	16	0.53	0	0.00	16	0.46
10-14	635	21.16	46	9.73	681	19.60
15-19	1048	34.92	81	17.12	1129	32.50
20-24	542	18.06	101	21.35	643	18.51
25-29	310	10.33	91	19.24	401	11.54
30-34	164	5.46	58	12.26	222	6.39
35-39	116	3.87	41	8.67	157	4.52
40-44	70	2.33	27	5.71	97	2.79
45-49	43	1.43	16	3.38	59	1.70
50 or Older	57	1.90	12	2.54	69	1.99
TOTAL	3001	100.00	473	100.00	3474	100.00

Males		<u>Females</u>		<u>Total</u>		
Mean	= 21.19	Mean	= 26.49	Mean	= 21.91	
Median	= 18.00	Median	= 25.00	Median	= 19.00	

The overall mean age in the intake study for the first arrest leading to a delinquency adjudication or adult felony conviction was 21.9 years. Females (26.5) were older than the males (21.2). Sixteen offenders (0.46%), all male, were less than ten-years-old at the time of their first delinquency adjudication. In total, sixty-nine offenders (1.99%) were over the age of fifty at the time of their first conviction (male = 1.9%; female = 2.5%).

TABLE 40: Number of Juvenile Violent (Non-Sex) Offenses

Number of Juvenile Violent (Non-Sex) Offenses	OF JUVENILE VIOLENT (NON-SEX) OFFENSES Males		Fe	males	Total	
` '	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2057	75.54	391	90.51	2448	77.59
1	407	14.95	23	5.32	430	13.63
2	155	5.69	10	2.31	165	5.23
3	61	2.24	4	0.93	65	2.06
4	26	0.95	1	0.23	27	0.86
5 or more	17	0.62	3	0.69	20	0.63
TOTAL	2723	100.00	432	100.00	3155	100.00

Male offenders in the sample were more likely to have one or more adjudications for juvenile violent (non-sex) offenses (male = 24.5%; female = 9.5%). Roughly 3.5% of the overall sample have three or more violent offenses as a juvenile. Given the variations in county juvenile records it is difficult to determine whether these are felony or misdemeanor offenses. This is true for all tables representing juvenile offenses in this study.

**TABLE 41: Number of Juvenile Sex Offenses** 

Missing: 322

Number of Juvenile Sex Offenses	Males		Fe	males	Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
0	2644	97.10	432	100.00	3076	97.50	
1	76	2.79	0	0.00	76	2.41	
2	3	0.11	0	0.00	3	0.10	
TOTAL	2723	100.00	432	100.00	3155	100.00	

The data reflects that 2.9% of the male offenders had sex offenses as a juvenile. None of the females in the study had a juvenile sex offense recorded.

**TABLE 42: Number of Juvenile Drug Use/Possession Offenses** 

Missing: 322

Number of Juvenile Drug Use/Possession	Males			males	Total	
OFFENSES	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2446	89.83	421	97.45	2867	90.87
1	212	7.79	10	2.31	222	7.04
2	45	1.65	1	0.23	46	1.46
3	16	0.59	0	0.00	16	0.51
4	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
5 or more	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
TOTAL	2723	100.00	432	100.00	3155	100.00

Drug use/possession offenses as a juvenile were reflected in the records of 9.1% of the intake study.

TABLE 43: Number of Juvenile Drug Sale/Trafficking Offenses

Number of Juvenile Drug Sale &	M	Males		Females		otal
Trafficking Offenses	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2647	97.21	432	100.00	3079	97.59
1	66	2.42	0	0.00	66	2.09
2	8	0.29	0	0.00	8	0.25
3	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
TOTAL	2723	100.00	432	100.00	3155	100.00

Juvenile drug trafficking offenses were found in 2.4% of the intake sample (male 2.8%; female 0.0%).

TABLE 44: Number of Juvenile DUI/OMVI Offenses

Missing: 322

NUMBER OF JUVENILE DUI/OMVI OFFENSES	Males		Females		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2689	98.75	431	99.77	3120	98.89
1	32	1.18	1	0.23	33	1.05
2	2	0.07	0	0.00	2	0.06
TOTAL	2723	100.00	432	100.00	3155	100.00

Juvenile DUI offenses were found for 1.1% of the offenders in the intake sample. Males accounted for all but one of the offenses.

**TABLE 45: Number of Juvenile Property Offenses** 

Missing: 322

NUMBER OF JUVENILE PROPERTY OFFENSES	Males		Females		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	1899	69.74	389	90.05	2288	72.52
1	416	15.28	28	6.48	444	14.07
2	197	7.23	11	2.55	208	6.59
3	112	4.11	3	0.69	115	3.65
4	47	1.73	1	0.23	48	1.52
5 or More	52	1.91	0	0.00	52	1.65
TOTAL	2723	100.00	432	100.00	3155	100.00

Over one-fourth (27.5%) of the offenders have had at least one juvenile property offense (males = 30.3%; females = 10.0%).

**TABLE 46: Number of Juvenile Social Service Placements** 

NUMBER OF JUVENILE SOCIAL SERVICE PLACEMENTS	Males		Females		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2191	80.97	398	92.13	2589	82.50
1	280	10.35	20	4.63	300	9.56
2	116	4.29	7	1.62	123	3.92
3	42	1.55	1	0.23	43	1.37
4	32	1.18	1	0.23	33	1.05
5 or More	45	1.66	5	1.16	50	1.59
TOTAL	2706	100.00	432	100.00	3138	100.00

Male offenders (19.0%) have over double the juvenile social service placements as females (7.9%).

**TABLE 47: Number of Commitments to Department of Youth Services** 

Missing: 336

Wissing. 550						
NUMBER OF COMMITMENTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF	Males		Females		Total	
YOUTH SERVICES	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2268	83.72	417	96.53	2685	85.48
1	269	9.93	6	1.39	275	8.76
2	112	4.13	7	1.62	119	3.79
3	36	1.33	0	0.00	36	1.15
4	13	0.48	2	0.46	15	0.48
5 or More	11	0.41	0	0.00	11	0.35
TOTAL	2709	100.00	432	100.00	3141	100.00

DYS commitments were higher for males than females (male = 16.3%; female = 3.5%). Overall, 14.5% of the intake sample had been committed to DYS.

**TABLE 48: Number of Juvenile Supervision Terms** 

Missing: 336

NUMBER OF JUVENILE SUPERVISION TERMS			Fei	males	Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
0	1698	62.68	363	84.03	2061	65.62	
1	574	21.19	43	9.95	617	19.64	
2	275	10.15	17	3.94	292	9.30	
3	110	4.06	7	1.62	117	3.72	
4	29	1.07	0	0.00	29	0.92	
5 or More	23	0.85	2	0.46	25	0.80	
TOTAL	2709	100.00	432	100.00	3141	100.00	

Men were much more likely than women to have been placed on juvenile supervision (male = 37.3%; female = 16.0%).

**TABLE 49: Number of Juvenile Probation Continuance Terms** 

Number of Juvenile Probation Terms Continued	Males		Females		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2226	82.14	404	93.52	2630	83.70
1	206	7.60	14	3.24	220	7.00
2	114	4.21	6	1.39	120	3.82
3	64	2.36	2	0.46	66	2.10
4	37	1.37	3	0.69	40	1.27
5 or More	63	2.32	3	0.69	66	2.10
TOTAL	2710	100.00	432	100.00	3142	100.00

Males were more likely than females to have had a probation continuance (males = 17.9%; females = 6.5%).

**TABLE 50: Number of Revocations of Juvenile Supervision** 

Missing: 336

NUMBER OF REVOCATIONS OF JUVENILE SUPERVISION	Males		Females		Т	otal
TERMS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2495	92.10	421	97.45	2916	92.84
1	145	5.35	6	1.39	151	4.81
2	31	1.14	3	0.69	34	1.08
3	16	0.59	0	0.00	16	0.51
4	9	0.33	2	0.46	11	0.35
5 or more	13	0.48	0	0.00	13	0.41
TOTAL	2709	100.00	432	100.00	3141	100.00

Men were more likely than women to have had a revocation of supervision as a juvenile (male = 7.9%; female = 2.6%).

**TABLE 51: Number of Prior Adult Non-Violent Misdemeanor Convictions** 

Missing: 9

Number of Prior Adult Non-violent Misdemeanor	Males		Females		Total	
CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	635	21.19	134	28.45	769	22.17
1	464	15.48	73	15.50	537	15.48
2	374	12.48	73	15.50	447	12.89
3	277	9.24	41	8.70	318	9.17
4	233	7.77	28	5.94	261	7.53
5 or more	1014	33.83	122	25.90	1136	32.76
TOTAL	2997	100.00	471	100.00	3468	100.00

Over three-fourths (77.8%) of the offenders had at least one prior adult conviction for a non-violent misdemeanor (male = 78.8%; female = 71.6%).

**TABLE 52: Number of Prior Adult DUI/OMVI Convictions** 

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT	Males		Fem	ales	Total		
DUI/OMVI CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%	
0	2301	76.78	384	81.36	2685	77.40	
1	355	11.85	54	11.44	409	11.79	
2	140	4.67	21	4.45	161	4.64	
3	70	2.34	6	1.27	76	2.19	
4	54	1.80	4	0.85	58	1.67	
5 or more	77	2.57	3	0.64	80	2.31	
TOTAL	2997	100.00	472	100.00	3469	100.00	

Men were slightly more likely than women to have had one or more prior adult DUI convictions (male 23.2%; female 18.6%).

**TABLE 53: Number of Prior Adult Violent Misdemeanor Convictions** 

Missing: 6

williams.						
Number of Prior Adult Violent Misdemeanor	Males		Females		Total	
CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	1962	65.44	376	79.49	2338	67.36
1	585	19.51	60	12.68	645	18.58
2	253	8.44	20	4.23	273	7.87
3	95	3.17	12	2.54	107	3.08
4	52	1.73	2	0.42	54	1.56
5 or more	51	1.70	3	0.63	54	1.56
TOTAL	2998	100.00	473	100.00	3471	100.00

Just under one third (32.6%) of the offenders had at least one prior adult conviction for a violent misdemeanor (male 34.6%; female = 20.5%).

**TABLE 54: Number of Domestic Violence Convictions\*** 

Missing: 169

NUMBER OF DOMESTIC	Mal	Males		ales	Tot	tal
VIOLENCE CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2095	73.53	400	87.15	2495	75.42
1	440	15.44	41	8.93	481	14.54
2	179	6.28	11	2.40	190	5.74
3	74	2.60	4	0.87	78	2.36
4	36	1.26	0	0.00	36	1.09
5 or more	25	0.88	3	0.65	28	0.85
TOTAL	2849	100.00	459	100.00	3308	100.00

<sup>\*</sup>Includes both adult and juvenile domestic violence convictions

Almost a quarter of the offenders (24.6%) have had at least one domestic violence conviction as an adult or juvenile (male = 26.5% female = 12.9%).

**TABLE 55: Number of Prior Adult Jail Incarcerations** 

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT JAIL INCARCERATIONS	Males		Fe	males	Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	1142	38.12	227	48.09	1369	39.48
1	572	19.09	88	18.64	660	19.03
2	352	11.75	45	9.53	397	11.45
3	244	8.14	35	7.42	279	8.04
4	180	6.01	17	3.60	197	5.68
5 or More	506	16.89	60	12.71	566	16.32
TOTAL	2996	100.00	472	100.00	3468	100.00

Men were more likely than women to have served at least one prior jail incarceration (male = 61.9%; female = 51.9%).

**TABLE 56: Number of Prior Adult Felony Convictions [Total]** 

Missing: 8

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT FELONY CONVICTIONS	Males		Fe	males	Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
0	1043	34.80	274	58.05	1317	37.96	
1	675	22.52	100	21.19	775	22.34	
2	434	14.48	42	8.90	476	13.72	
3	306	10.21	27	5.72	333	9.60	
4	176	5.87	11	2.33	187	5.39	
5 or More	363	12.11	18	3.81	381	10.98	
TOTAL	2997	100.00	472	100.00	3469	100.00	

Just over six in ten offenders (62.0%) had at least one prior adult felony conviction (male = 65.2%; female = 42.0%).

**TABLE 57: Number of Prior Adult Violent (Non-Sex) Felony Convictions** 

Missing: 7

Number of Prior Adult Violent (Non-Sex)	Males		Females		Total	
FELONY CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2073	69.17	427	90.27	2500	72.05
1	608	20.29	38	8.03	646	18.62
2	188	6.27	6	1.27	194	5.59
3	90	3.00	1	0.21	91	2.62
4	27	0.90	1	0.21	28	0.81
5 or More	11	0.37	0	0.00	11	0.32
TOTAL	2997	100.00	473	100.00	3470	100.00

Over one-fourth (28.0%) of the offenders had at least one prior adult conviction for a violent (non-sex) felony (male = 30.8%; female = 9.7%).

**TABLE 58: Number of Prior Adult Sex Felony Convictions** 

Number of Prior Adult Sex Felony	M	ales	Fe	males	Total	
Convictions	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2831	94.43	472	99.79	3303	95.16
1	152	5.07	1	.21	153	4.41
2	14	0.47	0	0.00	14	0.40
3 or More	1	0.03	0	0.00	1	0.03
TOTAL	2998	100.00	473	100.00	3471	100.00

Males were more likely to have prior adult felony convictions for a sexually oriented crime (male = 5.6%; female = 0.2%).

TABLE 59: Number of Prior Adult Drug Use/Possession Felony Convictions

Missing: 7

Missing. /						
NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT DRUG USE/ POSSESSION	Males Females			Total		
FELONY CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2324	77.54	383	80.97	2707	78.01
1	433	14.45	62	13.11	495	14.27
2	147	4.90	15	3.17	162	4.67
3	53	1.77	7	1.48	60	1.73
4	20	0.67	3	0.63	23	0.66
5 or More	20	0.67	3	0.63	23	0.66
TOTAL	2997	100.00	473	100.00	3470	100.00

Just over one-fifth (22.0%) of the offenders had at least one prior adult felony conviction for drug use or possession (male = 22.5%; female = 19.0%).

TABLE 60: Number of Prior Adult Drug Sale/Trafficking Felony Convictions

Missing: 7

Wissing.						
NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT DRUG SALE/ TRAFFICKING	M	ales	Fe	males	Total	
FELONY CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2566	85.62	451	95.35	3017	86.95
1	295	9.84	15	3.17	310	8.93
2	92	3.07	6	1.27	98	2.82
3	35	1.17	1	0.21	36	1.04
4	6	0.20	0	0.00	6	0.17
5 or More	3	0.10	0	0.00	3	0.09
TOTAL	2997	100.00	473	100.00	3470	100.00

Roughly one-in-eight offenders (13.1%) had at least one prior adult felony conviction for drug sale or trafficking (male = 14.4%; female = 4.7%).

**TABLE 61: Number of Adult Property Felony Convictions** 

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT PROPERTY FELONY	M	lales	Fe	males	Total	
CONVICTIONS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	2159	72.04	367	77.75	2526	72.82
1	456	15.22	72	15.25	528	15.22
2	180	6.01	17	3.60	197	5.68
3	81	2.70	7	1.48	88	2.54
4	48	1.60	1	0.21	49	1.41
5 or More	73	2.44	8	1.69	81	2.33
TOTAL	2997	100.00	472	100.00	3469	100.00

Over one-fourth (27.2%) of the offenders had at least one prior felony conviction for property offenses (male = 28.0%; female = 22.3%).

**TABLE 62: Number of Prior Adult Prison Incarcerations** 

Missing: 10

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT PRISON INCARCERATIONS	M	ales	Fe	males	Т	otal
	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	1415	47.26	333	70.40	1748	50.42
1	561	18.74	76	16.07	637	18.37
2	369	12.32	23	4.86	392	11.31
3	237	7.92	20	4.23	257	7.41
4	144	4.81	8	1.69	152	4.38
5 or More	268	8.95	13	2.75	281	8.10
TOTAL	2994	100.00	473	100.00	3467	100.00

Men were more likely than women to have served a prior prison term (male = 52.7%; female = 29.6%). Almost half of the entire intake sample has served a prior prison term (49.6%).

**TABLE 63: Number of Prior Adult Supervision Terms** 

Missing: 11

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT	Ma	les	Fem	ales	Total		
SUPERVISION TERMS	N	%	N	%	N	%	
0	708	23.65	142	30.08	850	24.52	
1	704	23.51	141	29.87	845	24.38	
2	521	17.40	81	17.16	602	17.37	
3	349	11.66	44	9.32	393	11.34	
4	233	7.78	21	4.45	254	7.33	
5 or More	479	16.00	43	9.11	522	15.06	
TOTAL	2994	100.00	472	100.00	3466	100.00	

Over three-fourths of male offenders have had at least one prior adult supervision term; this is higher for males than the females (male = 76.4%; female = 69.9%).

**TABLE 64: Number of Prior Revocations of Adult Supervision Terms** 

NUMBER OF PRIOR REVOCATIONS OF ADULT	M	lales	Fe	males	Total	
SUPERVISION TERMS	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	1501	50.15	248	52.54	1749	50.48
1	898	30.00	163	34.53	1061	30.62
2	313	10.46	39	8.26	352	10.16
3	128	4.28	13	2.75	141	4.07
4	64	2.14	6	1.27	70	2.02
5 or More	89	2.97	3	0.64	92	2.66
TOTAL	2993	100.00	472	100.00	3465	100.00

Men were slightly more likely to have at least one prior revocation of adult supervision (male = 49.9%; female = 47.5%).

**TABLE 65: Indication of an Escape History** 

Missing: 4

	Ma	ıles	Fer	nales	Total		
INDICATION OF AN ESCAPE HISTORY	N	%	N	%	N	%	
No	2603	86.74	446	94.49	3049	87.79	
Yes	398	13.26	26	5.51	424	12.21	
TOTAL	3001	100.00	472	100.00	3473	100.00	

Males were more likely to have a history of escape (male 13.3%; female 5.5%). It should be noted that many of these escapes are the version created by Senate-Bill 2 in 1996 (sustained parole-violator-at-large status can result in an escape offense).

## REENTRY ASSESSMENT RISK

**TABLE 66: Rap Static Assessment Total Score** 

Missing: 112

RAP STATIC ASSESSMENT TOTAL SCORE	M	ales	Fen	nales	Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
0	982	33.93	86	18.26	1068	31.74
1	415	14.34	81	17.2	496	14.74
2	424	14.65	86	18.26	510	15.16
3	388	13.41	84	17.83	472	14.03
4	288	9.95	76	16.14	364	10.82
5	185	6.39	40	8.49	225	6.69
6	128	4.42	16	3.40	144	4.28
7	72	2.49	2	0.42	74	2.20
8	12	0.41	0	0.00	12	0.36
Total	2894	100.00	471	100	3365	100

Most of the offenders (93.2%) in the intake study scored in the lower range (0 to 5 points) of the static assessment. However, changes in the point in time of scoring as well as persons responsible for the scoring might have had an impact upon the overall assessment score. This would hold true for table 67 also.

**TABLE 67: Rap Static Assessment Level** 

Missing: 112

RAP STATIC ASSESSMENT LEVEL	Male	es	Fema	ıles	Tot	 al
	N		N	%	N	%
Basic Level	2682	92.67	453	96.18	3135	93.16
Intensive Level	212	7.33	18	3.82	230	6.84
Total	2894	100.00	471	100.00	3365	100.00

The bulk of offenders in the study scored to the basic level of assessment (male 92.7%; female 96.2%). Intensive prison programming applied to 6.8% of the offenders (male = 7.3%; female = 3.8%).

# **Assessment of SB2 Impact**

The percentage of inmates admitted who were truly non-violent (TNV) was 27.8% in the 2010 Intake Study, down 1.3 points from the 29.1% in the 2009 Intake Study. See Table A, below. A TNV offender is one who has no violent current conviction or indictment offense, no prior felony or misdemeanor conviction for a violent (except F2 or F3 burglary) or sex offense, no gun time, and no weapon involvement in the current offense. In the 1992 and 1996 Intake Studies (which included only Pre-Senate Bill 2 inmates), the percentage of truly non-violent inmates was 44.4%. This figure declined to roughly 40 percent in the 1997 and 1998 Intake Studies, and then dropped slowly but steadily to 29.7% in 2005. The figure then reversed and rose slightly but steadily till 2008 before dropping over four percentage points from 2008 to 2010.

Table A-Proportion of Each Year's Intake Who were Truly Non Violent (TNV), in %

1992	1996	1997	1998	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
44.4	44.4	40.0	39.9	38.6	35.8	33.9	33.2	31.5	29.7	30.7	31.9	31.9	29.1	27.8

In 2010, the percentage of TNV offenders who were supervision (parole or probation) violators decreased to 34.4%. This decrease of 5.1 percentage points puts the proportion of violators at the lowest that it has been since the beginning of the regularly scheduled intake studies in 1996. See Table B below, titled "TNV Intake Who were Supervision Violators," to follow the patterns since 1996.

Table B-Proportion of Each Year's TNV Intake Who were Supervision Violators, in % Intake Study Year

1996	1997	1998	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
36	50	54	49.4	45.8	53.3	53.6	44.2	44.4	40.4	40.8	43.5	39.5	34.4

The percentage of all admissions that were probation violators (Table C, below) has been relatively stable since 1996, with the proportion generally between one-third and one-quarter of commitments. The 2.3 percentage point decrease in the 2010 study follows a 2.7 point decrease in 2009. The 25.6 percentage is the lowest that this proportion has been. The pattern suggests recent concern to reduce probation violation rates has been productive.

Table C-Proportion of each Year's Total Intake Who were Probation Violators, in %

Intake Study Year													
1996	1997	1998	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
30	35	39	36.6	33.6	35.6	32.5	32.8	30.5	30.8	29.2	30.6	27.9	25.6

At 6.4% the percentage of new admissions that had committed a new crime while on parole or post release control in the 2010 Intake Study continued a decline that began in 2004. Except for a 1.2 point increase in 2005 and a .2 increase in 2007, the proportion of offenders who were parole or PRC violators has been in decline. (Table D, below) Still, the rate in the 2010 Intake Study is 3.6 times higher than in the 1996 study.

Table D-Proportion of Each Year's Total Intake Who were Parole/PRC Violators, in % Intake Study Year

1996	1997	1998	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
1.8	2.5	3.1	4.3	7.9	8.5	10.3	8.6	9.8	8.5	8.7	8.3	7.8	6.4

All of these figures suggest that efforts for community alternatives are resulting in an intake population that contains a higher proportion of violent/more serious offenders and a smaller proportion of truly non-violent offenders.